

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,527	7,527 06/27/2003		James M. Uhlik	SGT-53	6037	
23599	7590	08/15/2006		EXA	MINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.				нис	HUG, ERIC J	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201				1731	1	

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/607,527	UHLIK, JAMES M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric Hug	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 C</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9 and 12-42 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-40 is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 13-27,33-35,41 and 42 is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,8,9 and 28-32 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-6 and 12 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

## Response to Amendment

The following is in response to the amendment filed on October 27, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 8, 9, and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitchcock (US 1,564,240). Hitchcock discloses an apparatus for making sheet glass comprising a molten metal (tin) bath 5 (float bath) which receives molten glass from melting tank 1. The molten bath is carried upon a car 31 mounted on a transversely extending track 32. This allows the bath to be removed from the melting tank (page 2, column 2, lines 91-95). Wheels/rollers must obviously be part of this assembly in order to move the float bath along the rails. Supports for the rails must also be present to position and hold the rails in place. Hitchcock does not expressly disclose that the bath is moved among a plurality of units as given by the claims of the present invention. However, the claims are unpatentable because using the transport assembly to move the bath among a plurality of units is merely the intended use of the transport assembly, and not a structural limitation of the float bath.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 36-40 are directed to inventions that are independent or distinct from the inventions originally claimed. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented inventions, these inventions have been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 36-40 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected inventions.

See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-27, 33-35, and 41-42 are allowed.

Claims 3-6 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable subject matter for these claims was indicated previously.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 27, 2005 have been fully considered.

Applicant's arguments are persuasive regarding Baron (US 4,171,966). It is recognized that the molten metal bath of Baron is not a float bath but a device for cooling an already prepared sheet of glass. Accordingly, previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Baron are withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive regarding Hitchcock above. Hitchcock discloses two rails, and although not shown in the drawings, wheels or roller assemblies along the two rails and supports for rails must be part of the transport assembly in order to move the float bath.

Art Unit: 1731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Hug whose telephone number is 571 272-1192.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Zun K